

Proposals for WFC certification approaches and perspectives of OEM's and operators

Nikolai Hille - DNV GL Renewables Certification



Content



- Introduction
- DNV GL proposals for WFC certification approaches
- Perspectives of
 - WT OEMs
 - operators
 - DNV GL as certification body



Introduction



Wind turbine level

Wind farm level

WFC certification

WT structural design



Type Certificate



SSDA /
Project Certificate

Site-specific Design Assessment (SSDA)

Grid Code Compliance



Type Certificate



Project Certificate



Introduction



Wind turbine level

Wind farm level

WFC certification

WT structural design

Type Certificate



SSDA /
Project Certificate

... for wind farms to be retrofitted with WFC functionalities

... for wind farms designed to apply WFC



DNV GL proposals for WFC certification approaches



WFC certification Approach 1 – Straightforward integration



- First projects expected to comprise less complex WFC features
 - integration might be easily realised for existing turbine types.
 - straightforward certification

• Example:

- Look-up table based "induction control" for selected turbines of wind farm
- Simple argumentation that loads cannot exceed existing envelope
 - Certification by inclusion into existing Type Certificate /SSDA



WFC certification Approach 2 – Risk-based certification

- Limited guidance for WFC certification in existing standards
 - Clear guidance missing for more complex new WFC designs
- For more complex new designs Technology Qualification according to DNVGL-RP-A203
 - Assess new technology using risk-based approach
 - Possibility to mitigate risks: measurement project on performance of WFC
 - Provide clear path to desired certification, e.g. SSDA
 - Reach comparable safety level as Type and Project Certification





WFC certification Approach 3 – Certification facilitated by measurements



New approach in DNVGL-SE-0190 section 8.13 "Wind farm control" ed. 2020!

- Case: Simulation model / tool validation appears difficult
 - Within SSDA load simulations are assessed by plausibility checks only
 - ➤ issue of "conditioned" SSDA covering WFC
 - Measure the performance of the WFC during first years of operation
 - After confirmation of initial assumptions by measurements
 - >DNV GL issues "unconditioned" SSDA with intended wind plant configuration



Perspectives of

- WT OEMs
- operators
- DNV GL as certification body







- Too large computational effort for wind farm simulation tools
- Not practical for design load calculations and thus not for certification
- Prefers risk-based approach for certification
- "Certification facilitated by measurements" approach is seen as an option for wind farms to be retrofitted with WFC features.
- Prefers verification of WFC features already in the type certification phase or as type certificate amendment option.
- Power curve verification is a standard package of type certification. OEM asked for new certification service to confirm increase of Annual Energy Production (AEP) by WFC



Perspectives of operators Current situation



- WT OEMs only slowly ready to sell WFC functionalities for GCC adapted to the individual requirements of the operator
- Focus is mainly on grid connection and requirements from direct marketer rather than addressing new WFC functionalities (optimisation of loads / AEP / operation)







- Will only implement WFC if risk is low or manageable!
- Requires WT OEM to provide interface which allows routing of set points
- Operator does not investigate and take responsibility for the influence of WFC on fatigue loads.
- Expects from certification of WFC that WT OEMs take care about site specific conditions and compliance with the load design envelope of WT
- Retrofit installation to be aligned with WT OEM to avoid warranty conflicts
- Requires WFC functionalities to include ability for "ancillary services" (although not yet marketed)



DNV·GL

Perspectives of certification body / conclusions

- No relevance of AEP and optimization tasks for certification!
- Ensure clear interfaces between WT OEM and operator regarding impact of operation on fatigue load
- Limit load certification efforts / ensure proper validation of models for wake and load simulation of wind farm
- Atmospheric conditions expected to become relevant parameter for site assessment
- Site-specific controller design
- Valuable output from FarmConners expected certification possible today!



Questions?





